APPROXIMATION MODEL OF THE STRESS-STRAIN CURVE FOR DEFORMATION OF ALUMINIUM ALLOYS
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The main purpose of this paper is to give a mathematical description of flow stress of examined aluminium alloys on the basis of upsetting tests performed in a servohydraulic plastometer. Deformation curves have been described by means of the Sellsars-Tegart-Garofalo equation, with the aid of linear regression analysis and by the neural network method implemented in the NEUREX program.
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INTRODUCTION

In view of current development of novel advanced technological processes and deployment of wider range of materials, knowledge of energy and forces in forming is important for defining optimum processing conditions leading to the best possible economic effect. Knowledge of the flow stress of materials in relation to forming parameters is a key to calculation of deformation forces and deformation work and resulting technical parameters for an effective process and higher product quality. The shape of the stress-strain curve enables to draw a conclusion on formation of microstructure and thus on properties of the product.

The purpose of the experimental section of the paper is to give a mathematical description of flow stress of examined aluminium alloys on the basis of upsetting tests performed in a servohydraulic plastometer. Stress-strain curves have been described with the aid of Sellsars-Tegart-Garofalo equations and by means of linear regression analysis. An identical set of results was also processed using neural networks methods in NEUREX software.

COMPRESSION TEST

In a compression test, there is an additional tangential force acting on the specimen during deformation, which results from the friction between the tool and specimen. Where this friction force is not eliminated, it leads to an increase in the flow stress of 7–12% in comparison with flow stress values obtained without the effect of friction. That is why there is an effort to reduce the friction in the deformation process by means of suitable lubricants (e.g. melted glass). For the purpose of rolling investigation, however, it is recommended to measure the flow stress in compression a test due to a similar deformation arrangement. Evaluation of the experiment should take into account the impact of the temperature increase in the process and the strain-time relationship. The evaluation is based on the assumption of a uniaxial compression stress state within the strain range of $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 0,7$ [1].

EXPERIMENTAL

A computer-controlled servohydraulic compression plastometer has been used as a testing machine for the upsetting test. This testing system by the company Servotest, converted into a fully digital instrument, performs compression testing at strain rates between 0,01 and 40 s$^{-1}$ and at the testing force of up to 400 kN. It requires standard specimens with an initial height exceeding 10 mm and 10 mm diameter. This system also offers multi-stage testing with variable height reductions and holding times. For hot upsetting tests the system offers an integrated upsetting furnace which encloses the entire testing space (the specimen and the upsetting tool) to provide isothermal conditions until the start of testing.
The set of experimental materials consisted of specimens of four aluminium alloys with the dimensions of \( \phi 10 \times 12 \text{mm} \), which had been heat-treated at 280 \(^\circ\text{C}\)/2 hours and cooled-down slower than 50 \(^\circ\text{C}\)/hour. Experiments were carried out at three temperatures: 360, 400 and 440 \(^\circ\text{C}\) and at three strain rates: 0.1; 1; 10 \(\text{s}^{-1}\). The shapes of the testing curves, as shown in Figure 1, indicate that beyond the peak stress dynamic restoration takes place, where recovery can be expected to occur in aluminium alloys. In Figure 1 the diagram shows the curves for the 2014 alloy. Chemical compositions of individual alloys are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>alloy</th>
<th>sample</th>
<th>mark</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AlCu4Mg1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2124</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCuLiMg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2091</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCuLi</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCu4Si1Mg</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCu4Mg1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCuLiMg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCuLi</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCu4Si1Mg</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The curves obtained at the temperature of 360 °C and strain rate of 1 \(\text{s}^{-1}\) are indicated in Figure 2. Curves for other temperatures and strain rates have been obtained as well [2].

**EVALUATION OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

Data obtained from the plastometer has been used as the basis for evaluation.

The first method used involved finding the coefficients of the Sellars-Tegart-Garofalo equation through calculation of peak strain values \(\varepsilon_{p_{\text{m}}}\) and \(\sigma_{p_{\text{m}}}\) peak stresses and substituting them in the equation:

\[
\sigma_{p} = \sigma_{p_{\text{m}}} \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{p_{\text{m}}}} \right) \exp \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{p_{\text{m}}}} \right) \]

(1)

Resulting curves are shown in the Figure 3 below. These particular ones indicate the stress in the AA 2014 alloy at the strain rate of 1 \(\text{s}^{-1}\) and temperatures of 360, 400 and 440°C. Their graphical comparison with experimental data is indicated for better clarity as well.

Another method applied was an evaluation with the aid of linear regression analysis. Simple forms have been taken into account first (2 - 3) in accordance with
equations proposed by Spittel et al [3] in the following form:

\[ \sigma_p = \sigma_{p0} \cdot A_i \cdot \exp(-m_i T) \cdot A_s \cdot \varepsilon^n_i \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)^{m_i T} \cdot A_s \cdot \varepsilon^n_i \cdot \exp \left( \frac{m_i}{\varepsilon} \right) \]

leading to the particular conclusion relevant for the AA2014 alloy:

\[ \sigma_p = 417 \cdot 6.284 \cdot \exp(-0.00456T) \cdot 1066 \cdot \varepsilon^{0.0512} \cdot 1014 \cdot \varepsilon^{0.0926} \]

There are more complex equations providing more adequate description of the curves beyond the peak stress (4 - 5):

\[ \sigma_p = \sigma_{p0} \cdot A_i \cdot \exp(-m_i T) \cdot A_s \cdot \varepsilon^n_i \cdot \exp \left( \frac{m_i}{\varepsilon} \right) \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)^{m_i T} \cdot A_s \cdot \varepsilon^n_i \]

which leads to the expression, again for the AA2014 alloy:

\[ \sigma_p = 417 \cdot 6.284 \cdot \exp(-0.00456T) \cdot 1066 \cdot \varepsilon^{0.0512} \cdot \exp \left( \frac{-0.00112T}{\varepsilon} \right) \cdot 1014 \cdot \varepsilon^{0.0926} \]

Values of parameters used for obtaining the above equations for all alloys in question are listed in the Table 2.

Table 2  Table of material constants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>slitin</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>A3</th>
<th>m1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA2014</td>
<td>6.284</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>0.00456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA2091</td>
<td>9.199</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.00556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA2090</td>
<td>14.768</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.00674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA2124</td>
<td>3.788</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.00339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another model which has been used (4) leads to a satisfactory description of curves, as indicated by the final comparison in Figure 4.

The last method applied was evaluation by means of neural networks. The application program NEUREX was used, offering the simulation of a feed-forward multi-layer neural network employing backpropagation teaching with user-defined parameters, such as synaptic weights [4-5]. The ability to alter the number of neurons in the hidden layer has been used to identify the optimum neural network for the problem in question. A network with two, three or up to five neurons in the hidden layer has been used for each alloy. After calculation it was observed that the best approximation was achieved with the five-neuron variant displayed in the final comparison in Figure 4.

Identical AA 2014 alloy has been used for torsion tests with lower strain rates but higher total true strain

CONCLUSION

On the basis of experimental results of upsetting tests on four types of aluminum alloys, models for calculation of flow stress were constructed via three methods [7-9].

Comparison of those methods leads to the conclusion that within a specific range of strain values they can be used for describing the measured curves. Values obtained by regression analysis are relatively accurate, particularly in the peak deformation stress region. At higher strains (\( \varepsilon > 0.7 \)) the curves diverge more significantly and their shapes cannot be described by a single equation. Best results in the strain interval of \( \varepsilon < 0.5 \) were obtained by means of the model based on seeking Sellars-Tegart-Garofal equation coefficients. In this area, this model proved to be the most accurate one. Stress-strain curves constructed in the program NEUREX are an optimum match of the shape of the experimental curve. At lower strains, however, they fail to reach the same accuracy as the above methods. The final comparison of experimental and calculated curves is shown in Figure 4.
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