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The topic of the diploma thesis is current and fully in line with the Bachelor's program. The aim is not clearly defined. However, the target can be seen in the comparative Chinese stock market and the US stock market. Due to the differences of economic development of both countries, the choice of this comparison not very suitable in my opinion.

This thesis is divided into four chapters. Stock market analysis, stock market definition, stock market analysis, nature stock function and products. In the third chapter of the thesis author comparison of selected stock market. Student introducing stock market and US stock market separately and then comparing this markets.

In chapter 4 there is an analysis of Shanghai stock exchange and New York stock exchange. The student performed a regression analysis of the NYSE and SSE composite index. The results of this analysis revealed that NYSE and SSE are influential each other deeply. This analysis is very interesting and certainly it would be appropriate to further develop and test. The student comments on the results in only one paragraph. The conclusions of the thesis are based on the student's opinion rather than on the results of his analysis and comparison.

The work is in my opinion unbalanced. The theoretical part can be considered only chapter 2, the others are already formed by the analysis and the subsequent comparison of the stock market. However, given the subject, this disproportion is also relevant to the approved Bachelor thesis assignment.

According to the literature, the student used only 4 titles of professional literature. In my opinion, the student should study more literature for objective analysis. The author does not quote these sources at all. The author does not quote the definitions that are obviously not his expression. It also does not cite internet resources in the text. References electronic sources also do not conform. Tables are also labelled with resources that do not appear in references (Investopedia). Some are not labelled with resources at all.

There are several formal and graphic errors in the work, for example some graphs are harder to read, use personal expression.

The student could answer the questions:

What literature titles you used in particular? Describe in detail regression analysis you have done.

I recommend the thesis for the defense.
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